arXiv:1409.4188v3 [math.CA] 6 Mar 2016

APPROXIMATION BY AMPLITUDE AND FREQUENCY OPERATORS

PETR CHUNAEV AND VLADIMIR DANCHENKO

Abstract. We study Padé interpolation at the node $z = 0$ of functions $f(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f_m z^m$, analytic in a neighbourhood of this node, by *amplitude and frequency operators* (*sums*) of the form

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k h(\lambda_k z), \qquad \mu_k, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Here $h(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} h_m z^m$, $h_m \neq 0$, is a fixed (*basis*) function, analytic at the origin, and the interpolation is carried out by an appropriate choice of *amplitudes* μ_k and *frequencies* λ_k. The solvability of the $2n$-multiple interpolation problem is determined by the solvability of the associated moment problem

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k \lambda_k^m = f_m/h_m, \qquad m = \overline{0, 2n-1}.$$

In a number of cases, when the moment problem is consistent, it can be solved by the classical method due to Prony and Sylvester, moreover, one can easily construct the corresponding interpolating sum too. In the case of inconsistent moment problems, we propose a regularization method, which consists in adding a special binomial $c_1 z^{n-1} + c_2 z^{2n-1}$ to an amplitude and frequency sum so that the moment problem, associated with the sum obtained, can be already solved by the method of Prony and Sylvester. This approach enables us to obtain interpolation formulas with n nodes $\lambda_k z$, being exact for the polynomials of degree $\leqslant 2n-1$, whilst traditional formulas with the same number of nodes are usually exact only for the polynomials of degree $\leqslant n-1$. The regularization method is applied to numerical differentiation and extrapolation.

1. Introduction and statement of the problem

In [9, 10, 12, 13] the so-called *h-sums* of the form

$$\mathcal{H}_n(\{\lambda_k\}, h; z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k h(\lambda_k z), \qquad z, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{C}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

are studied. Hereinafter $h(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} h_m z^m$ is a function, analytic in a disc $|z| < \rho$, $\rho > 0$. We call it *a basis function*. Obviously, $\mathcal{H}_n(z)$ is well-defined and analytic in the disc $|z| < \rho \cdot \min_{k=\overline{1,n}} |\lambda_k|^{-1}$.

[0]The work of P. Chunaev was financially supported by RFBR (the projects No. 12-01-31471 mol_a and No. 16-31-00252 mol_a). The work of V. Danchenko was financially supported by DRPNiT No. 1.1348.2011, RFBR (the project No. 14-01-00510), the Russian Ministry of Education (No. 2014/13, the project code 3037; No. 1.574.2016/FPM) and carried out within the Vladimir State University state task No. 2014/13 in the field of scientific activity (the topic 2868).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30E10 (primary), 30E05, 65D30, 65D25 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. Amplitude and frequency sum, discrete moment problem, regularization, interpolation, extrapolation, Bessel functions.

In [9, 10, 12, 13] operators $\mathcal{H}_n(\{\lambda_k\}, h; z)$ are used as a tool for n-multiple (Padé) interpolation and approximation of functions f, analytic in a neighbourhood of the origin. In particular, it is shown in [12] that if $h_m \neq 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then *there always exists a unique set of the numbers* $\lambda_k = \lambda_k(f, h, n)$ such that

$$f(z) = \mathcal{H}_n(\{\lambda_k\}, h; z) + O(z^n), \qquad z \to 0.$$

On the other hand, in the above-mentioned papers h-sums are also used as operators of differentiation, integration, interpolation and extrapolation on certain classes of functions, holomorphic in a fixed neighbourhood of the origin. In this case the numbers λ_k are already independent of individual functions f from the class and hence are of a universal kind. For instance, the following formulas for numerical differentiation and integration, being exact for the polynomials of degree $\leqslant n-1$, are valid [12]:

$$zh'(z) \approx -h(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{1,k} h(\lambda_{1,k} z); \qquad \int_0^z h(t)\,dt \approx z \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{2,k} h(\lambda_{2,k} z). \tag{1.1}$$

Here the numbers $\lambda_{l,k}$ are absolute constants, being the roots of the polynomials $P_{l,n}$ ($l = 1, 2$), which can be defined recursively as follows. Let $P_{l,0} = 1$, $v_{l,1} = -1$ ($l = 1, 2$), then for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ we have

$$P_{l,k} = \lambda P_{l,k-1} + v_{l,k}, \quad v_{1,k} = -1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{j}{k}\right) v_{1,j}, \quad v_{2,k} = -\frac{1}{k^2} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{v_{2,j}}{k(k-j)}.$$

In 2013 we proposed [14, 15] a natural generalization of the h-sums, the so-called *amplitude and frequency operators* (*sums*) of the form

$$H_n(z) = H_n(\{\mu_k\}, \{\lambda_k\}, h; z) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k h(\lambda_k z), \qquad \mu_k, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{1.2}$$

where *amplitudes* μ_k and *frequencies* λ_k are parameters, being independent of each other. In the preprint [11] and the present paper we give a detailed exposition of the results announced in [14, 15].

Later on, operators of the form (1.2) were studied in [39] but with a fundamentally different approach for constructing them. Namely, there was proposed not an analytic method for that as in this paper, but a numerical one with small residuals (it will be discussed in Section 7).

The number n in (1.2) is called *the order* of the amplitude and frequency operator, if there are no zeros among the numbers μ_k and, moreover, the numbers λ_k are pairwise distinct (otherwise the order of the operator is less than n). As in the case of h-sums, we regard amplitude and frequency sums both as approximants of individual functions f, analytic at the origin (and then $\mu_k = \mu_k(f, h, n)$ and $\lambda_k = \lambda_k(f, h, n)$), and as special operators (of differentiation, extrapolation, etc.), acting on certain classes of functions (and then $\mu_k = \mu_k(n)$ and $\lambda_k = \lambda_k(n)$).

Introduction of the additional parameters μ_k enables us to formulate the problem of $2n$-multiple (Padé) interpolation at $z = 0$ by means of the amplitude and frequency sums (in contrast to the h-sums, when n-multiple interpolation is only possible). Indeed, given Maclaurin series

$$f(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f_m z^m, \qquad h(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} h_m z^m, \qquad \text{where } f_m = 0, \text{ if } h_m = 0,$$

we introduce the numbers $s_m = s_m(h, f)$:

$$s_m(h,f) = 0, \text{ if } f_m = 0; \qquad s_m(h,f) = f_m/h_m, \text{ if } f_m \neq 0, \qquad m \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{1.3}$$

For $|z| < \rho \cdot \min_{k=\overline{1,n}} |\lambda_k|^{-1}$ the operator (1.2) has the form

$$H_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} h_m (\lambda_k z)^m = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} h_m \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k \lambda_k^m \right) z^m,$$

hence to realize the $2n$-multiple interpolation

$$f(z) = H_n(\{\mu_k\}, \{\lambda_k\}, h; z) + O(z^{2n}), \qquad z \to 0, \tag{1.4}$$

or, which is the same,

$$f^{(m)}(z) = H_n(\{\mu_k \lambda_k^m\}, \{\lambda_k\}, h^{(m)}; z) + O(z^{2n-m}), \quad m = \overline{0, 2n-1}, \quad z \to 0, \tag{1.5}$$

the following conditions on the so-called *generalized power sums* (*moments*) S_m should be satisfied:

$$S_m := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k \lambda_k^m = s_m, \qquad m = \overline{0, 2n-1}. \tag{1.6}$$

The system (1.6) with unknown μ_k, λ_k and given s_m is well known as *the discrete moment problem*. Classical works of Prony, Sylvester, Ramanujan and papers of many contemporary researchers are devoted to the problem of its solvability (see [24, 29–31,33,38]). Note that the system (1.6) is bound up with Hankel forms, orthogonal polynomials, continued fractions, Gaussian quadratures and Padé approximants (a detailed review of these connections is given in [29,30] and also in Section 2).

Suppose that the system (1.6) is solvable. Then, following [30], we call the system and its solution *regular* if all λ_k are pairwise distinct and all μ_k are not vanishing. In the case of regular systems (1.6) we call the problem of $2n$-multiple interpolation (1.4) *regularly solvable*. One of the methods for solving regular systems (1.6) is due to Prony [31]. Consider the following product of determinants:

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \dots & \mu_n \\ 0 & \mu_1\lambda_1 & \mu_2\lambda_2 & \dots & \mu_n\lambda_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \mu_1\lambda_1^{n-1} & \mu_2\lambda_2^{n-1} & \dots & \mu_n\lambda_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \lambda & \lambda^2 & \dots & \lambda^n \\ 1 & \lambda_1 & \lambda_1^2 & \dots & \lambda_1^n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & \lambda_n & \lambda_n^2 & \dots & \lambda_n^n \end{vmatrix}.$$

By regularity, the former of them does not vanish and the latter does only for $\lambda = \lambda_k$ (as a Vandermonde determinant). On the other hand, direct multiplication of the determinants and taking into account (1.6) give the following determinant, which is a polynomial of λ:

$$G_n(\lambda) := \sum_{m=0}^{n} g_m \lambda^m = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \lambda & \lambda^2 & \dots & \lambda^n \\ s_0 & s_1 & s_2 & \dots & s_n \\ s_1 & s_2 & s_3 & \dots & s_{n+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ s_{n-1} & s_n & s_{n+1} & \dots & s_{2n-1} \end{vmatrix}. \tag{1.7}$$

We call G_n *a generating polynomial* (for functional properties of such polynomials, including orthogonality, completeness, etc., see [30]). Consequently, the numbers λ_k are the simple roots of the generating polynomial G_n. If the equation $G_n(\lambda) = 0$ is solved, we substitute the numbers found into the system (1.6). Finally, extracting

any n rows from (1.6) leads to a linear system of equations with unknowns μ_k, which has a unique solution with non-vanishing components. We now give known formulas for calculation of the numbers μ_k (see, for example, [16]). Let σ_m and $\sigma_m^{(k)}$ denote elementary symmetric polynomials of the form

$$\sigma_m = \sigma_m(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \sum_{1 \leqslant j_1 < \dots < j_m \leqslant n} \lambda_{j_1} \dots \lambda_{j_m}, \quad m = \overline{1, n},$$

$$\sigma_0 = 1, \quad \sigma_m^{(k)} = \sigma_m(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1}, 0, \lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_n), \quad k = \overline{1, n}.$$

Lemma 1. *The numbers μ_k are the scalar products $\mu_k = (\mathcal{L}_k \cdot \mathcal{S})$, where $\mathcal{S} = (s_0, \dots, s_{n-1})$ and*

$$\mathcal{L}_k = \frac{g_n}{G_n'(\lambda_k)} \left((-1)^{n-1} \sigma_{n-1}^{(k)}, \dots, (-1)^{n-m} \sigma_{n-m}^{(k)}, \dots, -\sigma_1^{(k)}, \ 1 \right). \tag{1.8}$$

Proof. If $V = V(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a Vandermonde matrix of the first n equations in the system (1.6), then the elements of the kth row $\mathcal{L}_k$ of the matrix V^{-1} have the form (1.8); see [16] for more details. ∎

We now formulate a known criterion of regularity in terms of roots of the polynomial G_n. Originally this criterion was obtained in an algebraic form by Sylvester [38] (see also [24, Ch. 5]); later on Lyubich [29,30] stated it in the analytical terms, which we use in the present paper.

Theorem. *The system* (1.6) *is regular if and only if the generating polynomial G_n is of degree n and all its roots are pairwise distinct. Moreover, the regular system has a unique solution.*

This theorem immediately implies the following proposition about regular solvability of the interpolation problem (1.4) for a function f, being analytic in a neighbourhood of the origin.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that the generating polynomial G_n, constructed using the numbers $s_m = s_m(h, f)$, $m = \overline{0, 2n-1}$, is of degree n and all its roots are pairwise distinct. Then the amplitude and frequency operator H_n is uniquely determined from the system* (1.6) *and realizes the $2n$-multiple interpolation* (1.4) *of the function f at the node $z = 0$.*

Remark 1. If the function f is even or odd, then the local precision of the interpolation can be increased. Indeed, if f is even, then $f(z) = \tilde{f}(t)$, $t = z^2$, for some function $\tilde{f}$, analytic at the point $t = 0$, and the interpolation (1.4) under the assumptions of Theorem 1 with the basic function h gives

$$\tilde{f}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k h(\lambda_k t) + O(t^{2n}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k h(\lambda_k z^2) + O(z^{4n}), \tag{1.9}$$

where it is necessary that $f_{2m} \neq 0 \Rightarrow h_m \neq 0$, see (1.3). If f is odd, then $f(z) = z\tilde{f}(t)$, $t = z^2$, and analogously

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k z h(\lambda_k z^2) + O(z^{4n+1}).$$

Note that, in contrast to similar discussions in [39, Corollary 2], here we do not require the functions f and h to be of the same parity.

Remark 2. One can consider the interpolation problem (1.4) with $O(z^M)$, where $M > 2n$ or $M < 2n$, instead of $O(z^{2n})$. Then we accordingly get overdetermined and underdetermined moment systems of the type (1.6):

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k \lambda_k^m = s_m, \qquad m = \overline{0, M-1}. \tag{1.10}$$

In some cases the process of solving the consistent systems (1.10) with $M \neq 2n$ can be reduced to the one of the standard systems (1.6) (with $M = 2n$). It can be done by eliminating the superfluous equations or adding the missed ones (regarding this see also [30, §5]). But in the present paper we do not consider the case $M \neq 2n$ for the following reasons.

The overdetermined systems (1.10) belong to the non-regular problems of the form (1.6), where $2n$ is exchanged by M and $\mu_k = 0$ for $k \geqslant n+1$. To apply the Prony-Sylvester method or some other analytical approaches in the standard subsystem of the system (1.10), one needs a preliminary analysis of its consistency. As far as we know, there exist no reasonably general methods for this purpose. Moreover, it can be seen from the corresponding overdetermined interpolation problem of the form (1.4), where $O(z^{2n})$ is exchanged by $O(z^M)$, that its consistency is quite rigidly connected with individual properties of the functions f and h and thus one has a little chance to obtain more or less general interpolation formulas of the overdetermined type.

For example, if a solvable system of the form (1.6) is supposed to be consistent with the next equation $S_{2n} = s_{2n}$, then the coefficient f_{2n} cannot be chosen arbitrarily as it depends on the parameters $s_0, \dots s_{2n-1}, h_{2n}$ in a certain way. Indeed, the following generalized Newton's formula, connecting the coefficients g_m of the generating polynomial G_n and the moments S_{v+m}, is well-known:

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n} S_{v+m} g_m = 0, \qquad v = 0, 1, \dots. \tag{1.11}$$

Therefore the values $s_m = s_m(h, f)$ (see (1.3)) of the moments S_m with $m > 2n-1$ (simultaneously with the coefficients $f_m = s_m h_m$) in a solvable overdetermined system of the form (1.10) are uniquely determined from the system (1.6) (we suppose that h is fixed). A similar situation arises when one obtains formulas for numerical differentiation and extrapolation (see the corresponding sections below). In these problems the sequences $\{s_m\}$ have a certain arithmetic structure and do not satisfy (1.11) for $v = n$ and $S_{2n} = s_{2n}$, i.e. one gets solvable systems of the form (1.6) with $M = 2n$ although adding one more equation of the required form leads to an inconsistent system (see, for example, (5.14)).

As regards the underdetermined moment systems (when $M < 2n$) and the corresponding Padé interpolation, they do not arise in the present paper as we solve the interpolation problem of the order higher than M with the same number of independent parameters $\{\mu_k\}_{k=1}^n$ and $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^n$.

Nevertheless, the systems (1.10) (consistent and even inconsistent) are of independent interest, moreover, they are actively studied in numerical analysis. Various methods for finding an approximate solution (in different senses) to them were developed, for example, in [5,6,25,32,39] (characteristics of one such method are discussed in Section 7).

2. Amplitude and frequency operators in classical problems

We now consider several classical problems, which are bound up with the class of amplitude and frequency operators.

2.1. Hamburger moment problem. Theorem 1 raises the question about interpolating amplitude and frequency operators with real λ_k and μ_k (in particular, with $\mu_k > 0$). This question is well-studied [2, Ch. 2] and can be settled by discretization of the following classical Hamburger problem: given a sequence of real numbers $\{s_m\}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, find a non-negative Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$s_m = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^m \, d\mu(\lambda), \qquad m \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{2.1}$$

Namely, the following criterion is valid [2, Ch. 2, §1]: the problem (2.1), where $m = \overline{0, 2n-1}$, has a unique solution with the spectrum, consisting of n pairwise distinct points $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$, if and only if the leading principal minors Δ_k of order k of the infinite Hankel matrix $(s_{i+j})_{i,j=0}^{\infty}$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\Delta_1 > 0, \qquad \Delta_2 > 0, \qquad \ldots \qquad \Delta_n > 0, \qquad \Delta_{n+1} = \Delta_{n+2} = \ldots = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

This implies that the discrete moment problem (1.6) is regularly solvable in real numbers λ_k (this is equivalent to the fact that the polynomial (1.7) has n pairwise distinct real roots) and $\mu_k > 0$ if and only if the sequence (1.3) satisfies the first n inequalities in (2.2). Note that then the sequence $\{s_m\}_{m=0}^{2n-1}$ is called *positive*.

2.2. Gauss and Chebyshev quadratures. Given a function f, analytic in a ρ-neighbourhood of the origin, suppose that

$$F(x) := \frac{1}{x} \int_{-x}^{x} f(t) \, dt, \qquad 0 < x < \rho.$$

To construct the amplitude and frequency operator $H_n(\{\mu_k\}, \{\lambda_k\}, f; x)$ for $F(x)$, we get the (positive) moment sequence $s_m = \frac{1-(-1)^{m+1}}{m+1}$, $m = \overline{0, 2n-1}$, from (1.3) and then consider the corresponding discrete moment problem (1.6). It is well-known that it is regular for any n, moreover, the corresponding generating polynomial (1.7) is the Legendre polynomial P_n (we write it in Rodrigues' form) multiplied by a non-zero constant [23, Ch. 7, §2]:

$$G_n(x) = P_n(1) P_n(x), \qquad P_n(x) := \frac{1}{2^n n!} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (x^2 - 1)^n.$$

Therefore the frequencies λ_k are real, pairwise distinct and belonging to the interval $(-1, 1)$ (as roots of the Legendre polynomials, forming an orthogonal system on $[-1, 1]$). The amplitudes μ_k are determined via the numbers λ_k by the well-known formulas [23, Ch. 10, §3]:

$$\mu_k = \frac{2}{(1 - \lambda_k^2) \, [P_n'(\lambda_k)]^2} > 0.$$

Thus we obtain the interpolation formula

$$\frac{1}{x} \int_{-x}^{x} f(t) \, dt = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k f(\lambda_k x) + r_n(x), \qquad r_n(x) = O(x^{2n}), \tag{2.3}$$

which is a Gaussian quadrature for each fixed x. The amplitudes and frequencies depend only on n but not on f. It is known [23, Ch. 10] that the Gaussian quadratures are of the highest algebraic degree of accuracy among all the formulas of the form (2.3) and exact (i.e. $r_n(x) \equiv 0$) for the polynomials of degree $\leqslant 2n-1$.

In a similar manner one can obtain interpolation formulas for integrals with classical weights. For example, for

$$F(x) := \int_{-x}^{x} \frac{f(t)}{\sqrt{x^2-t^2}}\,dt, \qquad 0 < x < \rho,$$

we have

$$s_{2m} = \pi\frac{(2m)!}{(2^m m!)^2}, \quad s_{2m+1} = 0, \quad m = \overline{0, n-1}, \qquad G_n(x) = (-2^{1-n}\pi)^n T_n(x),$$

where $T_n(x) = \cos(n \arccos x)$ are the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind. Calculating the amplitudes μ_k via the frequencies λ_k leads to the following Gauss-Chebyshev quadrature [1, §25.4.38] for real x, $0 < x < \rho$:

$$\int_{-x}^{x} \frac{f(t)}{\sqrt{x^2-t^2}}\,dt = \frac{\pi}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} f(\lambda_k x) + r_n(x), \quad \lambda_k = \cos\tfrac{2k-1}{2n}\pi, \quad r_n(x) = O(x^{2n}), \tag{2.4}$$

whose characteristic property is the equality of the amplitudes $\mu_k = \pi/n$. The remainder can be written more precisely:

$$r_n(x) = \frac{\pi f^{(2n)}(\xi)}{2^{2n-1}(2n)!}x^{2n}, \qquad \xi \in (-x, x). \tag{2.5}$$

One can deduce it from [1, §25.4.38] by a suitable change of variables.

2.3. Padé approximants. The Padé approximants as well as the Gaussian quadratures are closely related to the classical moment problem (see, for instance, [17]). Construction of the amplitude and frequency sum $H_n(\{\mu_k\}, \{\lambda_k\}, h; x)$ with the basis function $h(z) = (z-1)^{-1}$ for some function f, analytic in a neighbourhood of the origin, leads to the sequence of moments $s_m = -f_m$, $m = \overline{0, 2n-1}$. If the generating polynomial (1.7) for this sequence is of degree n and all its roots are pairwise distinct, then by Theorem 1 we get the following interpolation identity:

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\mu_k}{\lambda_k z - 1} + O(z^{2n}). \tag{2.6}$$

This is a classical Padé approximant of order $[(n-1)/n]$. We recall that classical Padé approximants of order $[m/n]$ are interpolating rational functions of the form $P_m(f; z)/Q_n(f; z)$ (see, for instance, [4, §1.1]). Note that the method for solving the problem (1.6), proposed by Ramanujan [33], is equivalent to the one for construction of the interpolation formula (2.6) (see [29, 30]).

2.4. Exponential sums. Let $h(z) = \exp(z)$ be a basis function in the amplitude and frequency operator $H_n(\{\mu_k\}, \{\lambda_k\}, h; z)$ and f be a function, which we are going to interpolate. The corresponding sequence of moments is $s_m = m! f_m$, $m = \overline{0, 2n-1}$. Suppose that the problem (1.6) for this sequence is regular. Then the following formula of $2n$-multiple interpolation at the origin holds:

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k e^{\lambda_k z} + O(z^{2n}).$$

(In particular, this result has been already obtained in [8] and [30].)

Interpolation of functions by exponential sums with *simple* equidistant nodes was considered by Prony [31]. At present, many works are devoted to this method and its various modifications and applications (see, for instance, [5,6,22], [7, Ch. 6] and references there).

A vast investigation of *the exponential series* was conducted in the scientific school of Leont'ev [26]. It is worth mentioning here that members of the school also actively studied several *generalizations of the exponential series* (see, for instance, [19,27,37]). Namely, they enquired into the problem of completeness of the infinite systems $\{h(\lambda_k z)\}$, where h were entire functions and λ_k given numbers. Consequently, they actually considered some *representations* of analytic functions f by amplitude and frequency sums of infinite order, $H_\infty(\{\mu_k\},\{\lambda_k\},h;z)$, and properties of these representations (domains of convergence, admissible classes of the numbers λ_k and functions f, connections between μ_k and λ_k, etc.). In contrast to this approach, we consider *approximations* by amplitude and frequency sums of finite order and respective errors. Moreover, the parameters μ_k and λ_k are not given but uniquely determined by the functions f and h. Furthermore, in different applications we regard amplitude and frequency sums as operators with fixed (universal) numbers μ_k and λ_k, being determined by the analytic nature of these operators.

3. Examples

In this section we give several examples of approximating amplitude and frequency sums for some special functions, in particular, Bessel functions (all arising discrete moment problems are regularly solvable). We will also compare our approximants with similar ones, obtained by other authors.

Example 1. It is known [1, §9.1.20-21] that the Bessel function of order zero, J_0, has the representation

$$J_0(\pm x)=\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-x}^{x}\frac{\exp(it)}{\sqrt{x^2-t^2}}\,dt=\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-x}^{x}\frac{\cos(t)}{\sqrt{x^2-t^2}}\,dt,\qquad x>0.$$

From this, (2.4) and (2.5) we get the following high-accuracy local approximation of J_0 at the point $x=0$ by an amplitude and frequency sum of order n:

$$J_0(x)=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\cos\bigl(x\cdot\cos\tfrac{(2k-1)\pi}{2n}\bigr)+r_n(x),\qquad |r_n(x)|\leqslant\frac{|x|^{2n}}{2^{2n-1}(2n)!}. \tag{3.1}$$

Note that one can obtain the same formula by interpolation of the series [1, §9.1.10]

$$J_0(z)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^m}{(2^m m!)^2}z^{2m} \tag{3.2}$$

by amplitude and frequency sums with the basis function $h(z)=\exp(z)$. Furthermore, then

$$s_{2m}=\frac{(2m)!}{(2^m m!)^2},\qquad s_{2m+1}=0,\qquad m=\overline{1,n-1},$$

cf. $\{s_{2m}\}$ for the Gauss-Chebyshev quadrature in Section 2.2.

If in (3.1) we use $2n$ instead of n and take into account the symmetry of the frequencies obtained and the parity of cosine, then we get the following amplitude

and frequency sum (of the same order n):

$$H_n(x)=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\cos(x\cdot\cos\tfrac{(2k-1)\pi}{4n}), \tag{3.3}$$

for which by (2.5) we have

$$J_0(x)\approx H_n(x),\qquad |J_0(x)-H_n(x)|\leqslant\frac{|x|^{4n}}{2^{4n-1}(4n)!}. \tag{3.4}$$

Note that the formula (3.3) can be also obtained via (1.9).

In [39, Table 1 and Formula (25)] the following approximant was obtained (for the approach from [39] see also Section 7):

$$J_0(x)\approx\omega_{11}(x):=\sum_{k=1}^{11}\alpha_m\cos(\beta_m x),\quad \alpha_k\in(0.086,0.096),\quad \beta_k\in(0,1). \tag{3.5}$$

In comparison with this approximant, the sum (3.3) gives much more precise results for $n=11$ in the segment $[0,30]$. Calculations in Maple show that

$$\log_{10}\frac{|J_0(x)-\omega_{11}(x)|}{|J_0(x)-H_{11}(x)|}>M(x):=\frac{38}{x+1},\qquad x\in[0;30].$$

Moreover, in the segment [0,10] the minorant $M(x)$ can be exchanged by the more precise $\tilde{M}(x)=44\log_{10}\frac{1}{x}+50\to\infty$, $x\to 0$. Note that the absolute error of the formula (3.5) in the segment $[0,10]$ is quite small and close to $\varepsilon=10^{-12}$.

For $30\leqslant x\leqslant 40$ the absolute error of the formula (3.3) is also less than the one of (3.5), but for $x\geqslant 40$ the errors of both approximants can reach 10^{-1}, thus use of them does not seem to be fair for such x.

Example 2. From (1.5) and (3.3) we get the following interpolation formula for the derivative of the Bessel function, J_0' (see [1, §9.1.28]):

$$J_0'(x)\approx H_n'(x)=-\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\cos\tfrac{(2k-1)\pi}{4n}\,\sin(x\cdot\cos\tfrac{(2k-1)\pi}{4n}) \tag{3.6}$$

with the error (see (2.5))

$$|J_0'(x)-H_n'(x)|\leqslant\frac{|x|^{4n-1}}{2^{4n-1}(4n-1)!}. \tag{3.7}$$

For comparison, look at the approximant from [39, Table 2 and Formula (30)]:

$$J_0'(x)\approx\Omega_{13}(x):=-\sum_{k=1}^{13}\alpha_m\sin(\beta_m x),\quad \alpha_k\in(0.001,0.08),\quad \beta_k\in(0.12,1). \tag{3.8}$$

Calculations show that the formula (3.6) with the same $n=13$ has noticeably less error in the segment $[0,40]$:

$$\log_{10}\frac{|J_0'(x)-\Omega_{13}(x)|}{|J_0'(x)-H_{13}'(x)|}>M_1(x):=\frac{40}{x+1},\qquad x\in[0,40].$$

Moreover, in the segment $[0,10]$ the minorant $M_1(x)$ can be exchanged by the more precise $\tilde{M}_1(x)=43\log_{10}\frac{1}{x}+48\to\infty$, $x\to 0$. Note that the absolute error of (3.8) in $[0,10]$ is close to $\varepsilon=10^{-12}$.

For $40 \leqslant x \leqslant 45$ the absolute error of the formula (3.6) is also less than the one of (3.8). For $x \geqslant 45$ the absolute error of both formulas can be 10^{-2} and thus use of them may be unreasonable.

Example 3. Let us obtain one more representation for the function J_0 by taking

$$\operatorname{sinc} x = \frac{\sin x}{x} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{(2m+1)!} x^{2m} \tag{3.9}$$

as a basis function and using the approach for interpolation of even functions from Remark 1. Namely, we take into account that J_0 and sinc are even and interpolate the function $\tilde{f}(t) := J_0(x)$, $t = x^2$, by amplitude and frequency sums with the basis function $h(t) = \operatorname{sinc} x$. As it can be easily checked (see (3.2) and (3.9)), then $s_m = \frac{(2m+1)!}{(2^m m!)^2}$, $m = \overline{0, 2n-1}$. Solving the corresponding problem (1.6) (in all examples considered we obtained non-negative λ_k and real μ_k) yields

$$\tilde{f}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k h(\lambda_k t) + r_n(t), \qquad r_n(t) = O(t^{2n}),$$

or, which is the same,

$$J_0(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k \operatorname{sinc}(\sqrt{\lambda_k} x) + r_n(x), \qquad r_n(x) = O(x^{4n}). \tag{3.10}$$

We now compare (3.10) with the approximate equality $J_0(x) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{11} \alpha_k \operatorname{sinc}(\beta_k z)$, obtained in [39, Table 3 and Formula (32)] (the amplitudes and frequencies were found there by a numerical method). It turns out that the amplitude and frequency sum in (3.10) with the same order $n = 11$ gives more precise results for $x \in [0; 42]$ (especially in a small neighbourhood of $x = 0$). For $x \geqslant 40$ the absolute errors of both formulas can already exceed 10^{-3}.

Remark 3. The authors of [5, 32] consider numerical methods for interpolation of the function J_0 in equidistant nodes by amplitude and frequency sums of the form $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k \exp(\beta_k x)$ with complex (but not purely imaginary) α_k and β_k and quite fast-decreasing moduli of exponents. This enables them to get good approximants of J_0 on large intervals. For example, in [5] such an approximant with $n = 28$ has the error $\varepsilon \leqslant 10^{-10}$ for $x \in [0, 100\pi]$. The approximant with $n = 20$, obtained in [32, Example 4.5] by slightly different methods, has the error $\varepsilon \leqslant 10^{-4}$ for $x \in [0, 1000]$.

Note that the sums (3.3) and (3.6) are not recommended for use on such large intervals because of their local character. To guarantee a reasonable rate of approximation, they must have the order comparable with length of the intervals considered (it can be seen from the order-precise estimates (3.4) and (3.7)). For example, for $n = 20$ they do not give reasonable quality of approximation in the segment $[0, 1000]$ but in the subsegments $[0, 40]$, $[0, 30]$ and $[0, 20]$ the approximation errors are less than 10^{-16}, 10^{-25} and 10^{-38}, correspondingly.

4. Analytic regularization of the interpolation by amplitude and frequency operators

4.1. Variation of the moments. The $2n$-multiple interpolation problem has great difficulty in the case when the conditions of regularity (see Theorem 1) are not satisfied. In particular, it can be inconsistent then. In order to avoid this difficulty,

we propose a method for analytic regularization of the discrete moment problem. It consists in a certain variation of the right hand sides s_m of the system (1.6), namely, in adding the generalized power sums $\sigma\sum_{k=1}^{\nu}\alpha_k(r\beta_k)^m$ to them (another approach is described in Remark 4). The parameters α_k, β_k are independent of s_m, and σ and r depend only on $\max\{|s_m|\}$. From the point of view of the interpolation problem, this corresponds to the fact that we get a new regularly solvable problem of the form (1.4) (and (1.6)), where f is exchanged for a new varied function $\tilde{f}$ such that $\tilde{f}(z)-f(z)$ is the amplitude and frequency sum $\sigma H_\nu(\{\alpha_k\},\{r\beta_k\},h;z)$. We emphasize that the above-mentioned variation of $s_m=s_m(h,f)$ in the framework of the interpolation problem is universal as it depends only on $\max\{|s_m|\}$ but not on the functional properties of f and h. Moreover, as we will see below, if α_k, β_k, σ, r are chosen appropriately, then the difference $\tilde{f}-f$ is just a certain binomial. Let us describe the regularization method in detail. Instead of the function f, whose corresponding problem (1.6) is not regular, we introduce the varied function

$$\tilde{f}(z):=f(z)+\sigma H_\nu(\{\alpha_k\},\{r\beta_k\},h;z),\qquad p\in\mathbb{C},\qquad \nu\in\mathbb{N}, \tag{4.1}$$

where α_k, β_k σ, r are constants. Since

$$\tilde{f}(z)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}f_mz^m+\sigma\sum_{k=1}^{\nu}\alpha_k\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}h_m(r\beta_kz)^m=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(s_m+\tau_m)\,h_mz^m,$$

where $\tau_m:=\sigma\sum_{k=1}^{\nu}\alpha_k(r\beta_k)^m$ and $s_m=s_m(h,f)$ as above (see (1.3)), instead of (1.6) we obtain the system

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}\mu_k\lambda_k^m=s_m+\tau_m,\qquad m=\overline{0,2n-1}, \tag{4.2}$$

which differs from the system (1.6) in the regularizing summands τ_m (if the initial system is regular, then it is natural to set $\sigma=\tau_m\equiv 0$). The task is now to find the numbers τ_m such that the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Assume that we have done this, then by Theorem 1 we obtain the interpolation identity

$$\tilde{f}(z)=H_n(\{\mu_k\},\{\lambda_k\},h;z)+O(z^{2n}).$$

Returning to the initial interpolation problem and taking into account (4.1) yield

$$f(z)=H_n(\{\mu_k\},\{\lambda_k\},h;z)-\sigma H_\nu(\{\alpha_k\},\{r\beta_k\},h;z)+O(z^{2n}). \tag{4.3}$$

At the same time it is reasonable to choose ν as small as possible. However, there is a natural restriction on ν, which is seen from the following statement (cf. §4 in [30]).

Lemma 2. *It is necessary for the regularity of the varied system* (4.2) *that*

$$\nu\geqslant n-\operatorname{rank}\left(s_{i+j-2}\right)_{i,j=1}^{n}.$$

Proof. Consider the Hankel matrices

$$\mathbf{H}:=\left(s_{i+j-2}\right)_{i,j=1}^{n},\qquad \mathbf{R}:=\sigma\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\nu}\alpha_k(r\beta_k)^{i+j-2}\right)_{i,j=1}^{n}.$$

For the regularity of the system (4.2) it is necessary that the coefficient before λ^n of the corresponding generating polynomial G_n does not vanish, i.e. $\det(\mathbf{H}+\mathbf{R})\neq 0$, $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{H}+\mathbf{R})=n$. It is well known [20, §0.4.5] that for any $n\times n$-matrices A and B

$$\operatorname{rank}(A+B)\leqslant\min\{\operatorname{rank}A+\operatorname{rank}B;n\}. \tag{4.4}$$

Consequently, if the system (4.2) is regular, then necessarily $n \leqslant \operatorname{rank}\mathbf{H} + \operatorname{rank}\mathbf{R}$. It remains to note that $\operatorname{rank}\mathbf{R} \leqslant \nu$. Indeed, the following representation is valid:

$$\mathbf{R} = \sigma \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} \alpha_k \mathbf{C}(k), \qquad \mathbf{C}(k) := \left((r\beta_k)^{i+j-2}\right)_{i,j=1}^{n},$$

where $\operatorname{rank}\mathbf{C}(k) = 1$ (each next row is the previous one multiplied by $r\beta_k$). From this by the property (4.4) we obtain the required bound for $\operatorname{rank}\mathbf{R}$. ∎

4.2. Parameters of the regularization. In the problems under consideration the ranks of matrices $(s_{i+j-2})_{i,j=1}^{n}$ are small. Consequently, taking into account Lemma 2, we will consider only the overall case $\nu = n$ to solve the regularization problem. Then the formula (4.3) has $2n$ summands (if $\sigma \neq 0$, $r \neq 0$), and in this sense the amplitude and frequency sums obtained have no advantage over the h-sums of order $2n$. However, an appropriate choice of α_k, β_k, σ and r can essentially simplify the latter sum in (4.3). Indeed, let p and q be fixed non-zero complex numbers and

$$\alpha_k = \beta_k = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi(k-1)i}{n}\right), \qquad r = \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{1/n}, \qquad \sigma = \frac{p^2}{nq}r, \qquad k = \overline{1,n}, \tag{4.5}$$

where the number r is any of the n values of the root. Then, as it can be easily seen, in (4.2) we obtain

$$\tau_{n-1} = p, \quad \tau_{2n-1} = q; \qquad \tau_m = 0 \quad \text{for other} \quad m. \tag{4.6}$$

Indeed,

$$\tau_m = \frac{p^2}{nq} r^{m+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi(k-1)i}{n}(m+1)\right),$$

where the sum of the exponents equals n if $m+1$ is divisible by n and zero if not. Consequently,

$$\sigma H_n(\{\alpha_k\}, \{r\beta_k\}, h; z) = p\, h_{n-1} z^{n-1} + q\, h_{2n-1} z^{2n-1} + O(z^{2n}).$$

Thus, assuming the regularity of the varied problem (4.2), we get the formula

$$f(z) = H_n(\{\mu_k\}, \{\lambda_k\}, h; z) - p\, h_{n-1} z^{n-1} - q\, h_{2n-1} z^{2n-1} + O(z^{2n}). \tag{4.7}$$

In order to obtain the main result of this section, we now show that the above-mentioned problem is indeed regular for a certain choice of the parameters p and q. Below we give a possible way of such a choice. The generating polynomial of the system (4.2) for α_k and β_k from (4.5) has the form

$$G_n(\lambda) = G_n(p, q; \lambda) := \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \lambda & \cdots & \lambda^{n-1} & \lambda^n \\ s_0 & s_1 & \cdots & s_{n-1} + p & s_n \\ s_1 & s_2 & \cdots & s_n & s_{n+1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ s_{n-1} + p & s_n & \cdots & s_{2n-2} & s_{2n-1} + q \end{vmatrix}. \tag{4.8}$$

Obviously, for the parameters p and q, being sufficiently large in modulus (comparing with the moments s_k and independently of each other), the roots of this polynomial are arbitrarily close to those of the polynomial of the form (4.8) with $s_k = 0$, $k = \overline{0, 2n-1}$. The latter polynomial, as it can be easily checked by expanding the determinant along the first row, has the form

$$(-1)^{n(n+1)/2} p^n (\lambda^n - q/p),$$

and all its n roots are pairwise distinct. From here, the formula (4.7) and Theorem 1 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2. *Given p and q sufficiently large in modulus, the varied problem* (4.2) *with the parameters* (4.6) *has a regular solution $\{\mu_k\}$, $\{\lambda_k\}$. Moreover, for the constants $c_1 = -ph_{n-1}$ and $c_2 = -qh_{2n-1}$ the following interpolation formula holds:*

$$f(z) = c_1 z^{n-1} + c_2 z^{2n-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k h(\lambda_k z) + O(z^{2n}).$$

Remark 4. The above-mentioned regularization with the parameters from (4.5) and (4.6) is actually equivalent to adding the binomial $c_1 z^{n-1} + c_2 z^{2n-1}$ with non-vanishing coefficients c_1 and c_2 to the function f. In what follows we will expand the class of regularizable problems by showing that c_1 and c_2 can be chosen in a different way and not necessarily non-vanishing. In particular, in the extrapolation problem from Section 6 it will be reasonable to set $c_2 = 0$.

Remark 5. The conditions on p and q, mentioned in Theorem 2, are quite qualitative and need additional specification in practice. Several methods for this will be proposed below in particular applications. In the general case one can use the following observations. The leading coefficient $g_n = g_n(p)$ of the polynomial G_n is obviously a polynomial of p of degree n, hence $\deg G_n(p, q; \lambda) = n$ for all p except those from the set

$$\Pi := \{p : g_n(p) = 0\}, \tag{4.9}$$

containing no more than n points. It is possible to obtain some estimates for the boundaries of the set Π using that a matrix with strict diagonal dominance is non-singular (see the Levy–Desplanque theorem in [20, Th. 6.1.10]). Namely, if we choose p satisfying the inequality

$$|s_{n-1} + p| > \sum_{j=1, j\neq n-i+1}^{n} |s_{i+j-2}|, \qquad i = \overline{1, n},$$

then the determinant for g_n is strict diagonally dominant and hence $g_n \neq 0$. For this it is sufficient to take, for example,

$$p > n \max_{k=\overline{0,2n-1}} |s_k|.$$

We now suppose that the generating polynomial (4.8) is of degree n. Then the question about "separation" of its multiple roots arises. As it is easily seen,

$$G_n(p, q; \lambda) = \mathcal{S}(p; \lambda) + q\mathcal{T}(p; \lambda),$$

where the polynomial $\mathcal{S}$ is of degree n and the polynomial $\mathcal{T}$ is of degree $\leqslant n - 1$; both polynomials depend only on p. The following statement is valid.

Lemma 3. *Suppose that in each multiple root (if any) of the polynomial G_n the polynomial $\mathcal{T}$ either does not vanish or has a simple root. Then there exists an arbitrarily small variation $\delta \neq 0$ of the parameter q such that the polynomial $G_n(p, q+\delta; \lambda)$ has n simple roots.*

Proof. Assume that λ_0 is an s-multiple ($s \geqslant 2$) root of the polynomial $G_n(p, q; \lambda)$. Then in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of the root the polynomial

$$G_n(p, q + \delta; \lambda) = G_n(p, q; \lambda) + \delta\mathcal{T}(p; \lambda)$$

has the form

$$G_n(p, q+\delta; \lambda) = (\lambda-\lambda_0)^s(\alpha + O(\lambda-\lambda_0)) + \delta(t_0 + t_1(\lambda-\lambda_0) + O((\lambda-\lambda_0)^2)),$$

where $\alpha \neq 0$, $|t_0| + |t_1| \neq 0$ and values $O(\lambda-\lambda_0)$, $O((\lambda-\lambda_0)^2)$, $\lambda \to \lambda_0$, are independent of δ. Choose small $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$ so that in the disc $|\lambda-\lambda_0| \leqslant 2\varepsilon$ the polynomial G_n has no roots, distinct from λ_0 (we take into account that the roots depend on δ continuously), and

$$|G_n(p, q; \lambda)| > |\delta\mathcal{T}(p; \lambda)|, \qquad |\lambda-\lambda_0| = \varepsilon.$$

By Rouché's theorem, the polynomial $G_n(p, q+\delta; \lambda)$ has exactly s roots in the disc $|\lambda-\lambda_0| < \varepsilon$; we will use $\tilde{\lambda}_k$ to denote them. If $t_0 \neq 0$, then these roots satisfy the equation

$$(\lambda-\lambda_0)^s = -\frac{\delta t_0}{\alpha}\,(1 + O(\varepsilon)), \qquad \varepsilon \to 0.$$

If $t_0 = 0$, $t_1 \neq 0$, then $\tilde{\lambda}_1 = \lambda_0$ and other roots satisfy the equation

$$(\lambda-\lambda_0)^{s-1} = -\frac{\delta t_1}{\alpha}\,(1 + O(\varepsilon)), \qquad \varepsilon \to 0.$$

In any case we get s simple roots. Suppose that ε and $|\delta|$ are so small that the above-mentioned method works simultaneously for all the multiple roots but all the simple ones remain simple (it is possible as the roots depend on δ continuously). Then we get the polynomial $G_n(p, q+\delta; \lambda)$ with n simple roots. ∎

It follows from the aforesaid that the following conjecture is very likely: the set of the parameters (p, q), for which the interpolation problem considered in this section is regularly solvable, is everywhere dense in $\mathbb{C}^2$. But now we have only the following statement, which is a supplement to Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that $p \notin \Pi$ (see (4.9)) and the conditions of Lemma 3 are satisfied. Then there exists an arbitrarily small variation $\delta \neq 0$ of the parameter q such that the varied problem (4.2) with $\tau_{n-1} = p$, $\tau_{2n-1} = q + \delta$ (all other $\tau_m = 0$) has a regular solution $\{\mu_k\}$, $\{\lambda_k\}$, and for the constants $c_1 = -ph_{n-1}$ and $c_2 = -(q+\delta)h_{2n-1}$ the following interpolation formula holds:*

$$f(z) = c_1 z^{n-1} + c_2 z^{2n-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k h(\lambda_k z) + O(z^{2n}).$$

5. Numerical differentiation by amplitude and frequency operators

5.1. Statement of the problem. As an application of the regularization method we consider the problem of $2n$-multiple interpolation of the function $zf'(z)$ by amplitude and frequency operators H_n with the basis function f. (As above we suppose that f is defined and holomorphic in a neighbourhood of the origin.) The solution to this problem would allow us to obtain a high-accuracy formula for numerical differentiation with local precision $O(z^{2n})$. However, the discrete moment problem (1.6) with $s_m = m$, $m = \overline{0, 2n-1}$, which we get in this case, is non-regular (the generating polynomial (1.7) is of the degree less than n for $n = 1$ and $n \geqslant 3$ as the algebraic adjunct to λ^n obviously vanishes, and has the double root $\lambda = 1$ for $n = 2$; both cases do not satisfy Theorem 1). Here we apply the regularization method

mentioned in Remark 4. More precisely, given some complex parameters p and q, we consider the varied function

$$\tilde{f}(z) := zf'(z) + p\, f_{n-1} z^{n-1} + q\, f_{2n-1} z^{2n-1}, \qquad zf'(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m f_m z^m \tag{5.1}$$

and the interpolating sum $H_n(\{\mu_k\}, \{\lambda_k\}, f; z)$. From here by (1.3) we get the set of the varied moments

$$s_m = m, \quad m \neq n-1, 2n-1; \qquad s_{n-1} = n-1+p, \qquad s_{2n-1} = 2n-1+q, \tag{5.2}$$

which are independent of f. Consequently,

$$\hat{G}_n(\lambda) := \sum_{m=0}^{n} \hat{g}_m \lambda^m = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \lambda & \dots & \lambda^{n-1} & \lambda^n \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & n-1+p & n \\ 1 & 2 & \dots & n & n+1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ n-1+p & n & \dots & 2n-2 & 2n-1+q \end{vmatrix}. \tag{5.3}$$

If for some p and q the generating polynomial $\hat{G}_n(\lambda)$ has exactly n pairwise distinct roots $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$, then by Theorem 1 the varied interpolation problem becomes regular and

$$zf'(z) = H_n(\{\mu_k\}, \{\lambda_k\}, f; z) - p\, f_{n-1} z^{n-1} - q\, f_{2n-1} z^{2n-1} + O(z^{2n}), \tag{5.4}$$

where μ_k can be calculated using (5.2), (1.6) and Lemma 1.

5.2. Coefficients of the generating polynomial. In the case under consideration the coefficients $\hat{g}_m$ can be written explicitly.

Lemma 4. *Let $\kappa := (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} p^{n-3}$. Then for $n \geqslant 1$ the coefficients of the polynomial* (5.3) *have the form*

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{g}_n &= \kappa p \left(p^2 + n(n-1)p + \tfrac{n^2(n^2-1)}{12} \right), \\
\hat{g}_0 &= -\kappa \left(p^2 q + (2n-1)p^2 + (n-1)^2 p\, q - \tfrac{n(n^2-1)}{6} p + \tfrac{(n-2)n(n-1)^2}{12} q \right), \\
\hat{g}_m &= -\kappa \Big((2n-(m+1))p^2 - (n-(m+1))p\, q - \tfrac{n(n+1)}{2} \left(\tfrac{n+2}{3} - (m+1) \right) p + \\
&\qquad + \tfrac{n(n-1)}{2} \left(\tfrac{2(n+1)}{3} - (m+1) \right) q \Big), \qquad m = \overline{1, n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. One can verify the identities for $n = 1, 2$ directly. From now on, $n \geqslant 3$.

Let us first prove the identity for $\hat{g}_n$ by direct calculation of the algebraic adjunct $(-1)^n D$ to λ^n in the determinant (5.3).

We now show that the characteristic polynomial $P_n(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I)$ of the matrix

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} n-1 & n-2 & n-3 & \dots & 0 \\ n & n-1 & n-2 & \dots & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 2n-2 & 2n-3 & 2n-4 & \dots & n-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

has the form

$$P_n(\lambda) = (-1)^n \lambda^{n-2} \left(\lambda^2 - n(n-1)\lambda + \tfrac{n^2(n^2-1)}{12} \right). \tag{5.5}$$

It is known that for any matrix B

$$\det(B - \lambda I) = (-1)^n (\lambda^n - b_1 \lambda^{n-1} + b_2 \lambda^{n-2} + \dots + (-1)^n b_n), \tag{5.6}$$

where b_j is the sum of all j-rowed diagonal minors of the matrix B (see, for instance, [21, §3.10]). In particular, in terms of the traces of the matrices B and B^2 we have

$$b_1 = \operatorname{Tr} B, \qquad b_2 = \tfrac{1}{2}\left((\operatorname{Tr} B)^2 - \operatorname{Tr} B^2\right). \tag{5.7}$$

For our matrix A all minors of the size greater than two are zero (as subtracting a row from any other one gives a constant row) therefore $\operatorname{rank} A = 2$. Consequently, the coefficients before the terms with the powers less than $n-2$ in $\det(A-\lambda I)$ are zero. Furthermore, it is clear that $\operatorname{Tr} A = n(n-1)$. We now consider the coefficient before λ^{n-2}. It is easily seen (by direct multiplication of the kth row by the kth column of the matrix A) that

$$\operatorname{Tr} A^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\left((n-1)^2 - (m-k+1)^2\right) = \tfrac{1}{6}n^2(n-1)(5n-7).$$

It follows that

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\left((\operatorname{Tr} A)^2 - \operatorname{Tr} A^2\right) = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(n^2(n-1)^2 - \tfrac{1}{6}n^2(n-1)(5n-7)\right) = \tfrac{n^2(n^2-1)}{12}.$$

This completes the proof of the formula (5.5).

Let us return to the determinant D. Its matrix is mirror symmetric with respect to A (i.e. its columns are placed in a reversed order) and can be obtained by right multiplication of A by the anti-diagonal identity matrix. As is known, the determinant of the $n\times n$ anti-diagonal identity matrix is equal to $(-1)^{n(n-1)/2}$. Hence

$$D = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}\det(A+pI) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}P_n(-p). \tag{5.8}$$

This and (5.5) yield the desired formula for $\hat{g}_n = (-1)^n D$, $n \geqslant 3$.

For convenience, we introduce the set of three elements

$$\hat{\Pi} := \left\{0; \tfrac{n}{2}\left(1-n+d_n\right); \tfrac{n}{2}\left(1-n-d_n\right)\right\}, \qquad d_n := \sqrt{\tfrac{2}{3}(n-1)(n-3)}. \tag{5.9}$$

Note that if $p \notin \hat{\Pi}$, then $\hat{g}_n \neq 0$. Assume that $p \notin \hat{\Pi}$ and $\hat{g}_n$ are known. Then we can determine the desired identities for the other n coefficients from the following system of n linear equations:

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n} s_{v-m}\hat{g}_{n-m} = 0, \qquad v = \overline{n, 2n-1}. \tag{5.10}$$

The equation for each v can be obtained by summarizing the products of the algebraic adjuncts to the elements of the first row of the determinant (5.3) (generally, of the determinant (1.7)) and the corresponding elements of the $(v+2)$th row. The linear system (5.10) with unknowns $\hat{g}_0, \ldots, \hat{g}_{n-1}$ has a non-singular matrix (its determinant is equal to the coefficient $\hat{g}_n \neq 0$, $p \notin \hat{\Pi}$), hence it has a unique solution.

Consequently, in order to complete the proof of Lemma 4 it is sufficient to verify (5.10) by direct substitution of the moments (5.2) and coefficients given in Lemma 4. This verification is quite simple and can be reduced to calculation of the sums $\sum_{m=0}^{n} m^\nu$ for $\nu = 1, 2$, so we do not dwell on it.

Finally, let $p \in \hat{\Pi}$. The case $p = 0$ is not interesting as then we have $\hat{G}_n(\lambda) \equiv 0$ (see (5.3) for $n \geqslant 3$). In the case $p \in \hat{\Pi} \setminus \{0\}$ the system (5.10) is homogeneous and has a singular matrix hence it has infinitely many non-zero solutions, one of those is given in Lemma 4 (we use that the coefficients $\hat{g}_m$ depend on p continuously). ∎

5.3. Factorization of the coefficients of the generating polynomial. The following lemma about factorization of the coefficients of $\hat{G}_n$ is fundamental since it enables us to use Theorem 1 in the problem under consideration.

Lemma 5. *Let $n \geqslant 3$, $p \notin \hat{\Pi}$ (see (5.9)) and*

$$q = q_0(p) := -2\,\frac{p\,(3\,p + n^2 - 1)}{(n-1)\,(n-2)}. \tag{5.11}$$

Then the ratios $\hat{g}_m/\hat{g}_n$ for $m = \overline{1,n}$ are independent of p and $\hat{g}_0/\hat{g}_n$ depend on p linearly. More precisely, the generating polynomial has the form

$$\hat{G}_n(\lambda) = \hat{g}_n \left(\lambda^n - \frac{6\lambda\,(\lambda^{n-1} - (n-1)\lambda + n - 2)}{(n-1)\,(n-2)\,(\lambda-1)^2} + 2 + \frac{6\,p}{(n-1)\,(n-2)} \right). \tag{5.12}$$

There exists an arbitrarily small variation of the parameter p such that all the roots of the polynomial $\hat{G}_n$ are pairwise distinct.

Proof. Taking into account Lemma 4, if we solve the equation $\hat{g}_{n-1} = 0$, being linear with respect to q, then we get (5.11). Substitution of the expression for q into the other coefficients gives

$$\hat{g}_0 = \hat{g}_n \left(2 + \frac{6\,p}{(n-1)\,(n-2)} \right), \qquad \hat{g}_m = -6\,\hat{g}_n \frac{n-1-m}{(n-1)\,(n-2)}, \qquad m = \overline{1, n-1},$$

where $\hat{g}_n = (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} p^{n-2} \left(p^2 + n(n-1)p + \frac{n^2(n^2-1)}{12} \right) \neq 0$ as $p \notin \Pi$, therefore

$$\hat{G}_n(\lambda) = \hat{g}_n \left(\lambda^n - \frac{6}{(n-1)\,(n-2)} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} (n-m-1)\,\lambda^m + 2 + \frac{6\,p}{(n-1)\,(n-2)} \right),$$

which yields (5.12) after calculation of the sum. The conclusion about the simplicity of the roots follows immediately from Lemma 3. ∎

5.4. Main theorem about numerical differentiation by amplitude and frequency operators. From (1.11) we get

$$S_{2n} = -\frac{1}{\hat{g}_n} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} s_{n+m} \hat{g}_m. \tag{5.13}$$

After substituting the moments (5.2) and the coefficients from Lemma 5 into (5.13), direct calculation gives the expression for the $2n$th moment:

$$S_{2n} = 2n - C_n(p), \qquad C_n(p) := \frac{6np}{(n-1)(n-2)}.$$

Therefore the remainder r_n, which was denoted in (5.4) just as $O(z^{2n})$, has the following more precise form:

$$\tilde{f}(z) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k f(\lambda_k z) = \sum_{m=2n}^{\infty} (m - S_m) f_m z^m = C_n(p) f_{2n} z^{2n} + O(z^{2n+1}). \tag{5.14}$$

From the foregoing, we get the following statement.

Theorem 4. *Given $n \geqslant 3$, any $p_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a value of the parameter p, $|p - p_0| \leqslant \varepsilon$, $p \notin \hat{\Pi}$ (see (5.9)), such that*

$$zf'(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k f(\lambda_k z) - pf_{n-1}z^{n-1} - qf_{2n-1}z^{2n-1} + r_n(z), \tag{5.15}$$

where $r_n(z) = O(z^{2n})$ is the form (5.14) and $q = q_0(p)$ (see (5.11)). Moreover, the frequencies λ_k are the pairwise distinct roots of the polynomial (5.12) and the amplitudes μ_k are determined uniquely by Lemma 1. Furthermore, $\mu_k = \mu_k(p, n)$ and $\lambda_k = \lambda_k(p, n)$, so they are independent of the function f and universal in this sense.

The interpolation formula is exact for the polynomials of degree $\leqslant 2n - 1$, i.e. $r_n(z) \equiv 0$ in (5.15) for the polynomials f such that $\deg f \leqslant 2n - 1$.

Note that the method, which we consider in this section, can be easily extended to interpolation of the functions $z^\nu f^{(\nu)}(z)$, $\nu \geqslant 2$, hence one can obtain the formulas for numerical differentiation of higher order.

5.5. Remarks and examples. We now make several remarks about practical applications of Theorem 4. The remainder in the formula (5.15) is of quite high infinitesimal order, $O(z^{2n})$, and this is achieved by knowing only n values of f and two fixed values of its derivatives at $z = 0$. Traditional interpolation approaches with such a number of known values usually have remainders of order $O(z^{n+2})$. In other words, the formula (5.15) is exact for the polynomials of degree $\leqslant 2n - 1$, whereas usual $(n + 2)$-point interpolation formulas are exact only for the polynomials of degree $\leqslant n + 1$.

Another important feature of the formula (5.15) is that the variable interpolation nodes $\lambda_k z$ depend only on the point z, where we calculate $zf'(z)$, and are independent of f (in this sense the amplitudes $\mu_k = \mu_k(p, n)$ and frequencies $\lambda_k = \lambda_k(p, n)$ are universal for the whole class of analytic functions).

It is seen from the formula (5.15) that its precision strongly depends on the precision of the values $f_\nu = f^{(\nu)}(0)/\nu!$, $\nu = n - 1, 2n - 1$ (of course we assume that the values of the function f are known). In several particular cases this difficulty can be overcome. For instance, if it is known a priori that the function f is even (odd), then there is no necessity in calculation of f_{n-1} and f_{2n-1} for even (odd) n since then $pf_{n-1}z^{n-1} + qf_{2n-1}z^{2n-1} \equiv 0$ ($pf_{n-1}z^{n-1} \equiv 0$) and the local precision is $O(z^{2n})$ ($O(z^{2n-1})$). In the more general case, when f is even or odd, the formula (5.15) can be applied to the even auxiliary function $\omega(z) = f^2(z)$ for even n. Then the corresponding coefficients $\omega_{n-1} = \omega_{2n-1} \equiv 0$ and

$$2zf(z)f'(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k f^2(\lambda_k z) + O(z^{2n}).$$

In the most general case, if we want to use (5.15) systematically, it is necessary to calculate the regularizing binomial $pf_{n-1}z^{n-1} + qf_{2n-1}z^{2n-1}$ for each *fixed* function f. For this purpose some known formulas for numerical differentiation of analytic functions at $z = 0$ can be used. For example, one can use several high-accuracy formulas for $f_\nu = f^{(\nu)}(0)/\nu!$, obtained in [36].

Note that, in contrast to the formulas for calculation of Taylor coefficients as, for instance, in [36], the formula (5.15) works well only in a deleted neighbourhood of the point $z = 0$. Below we cite several known interpolation formulas for numerical differentiation, being close in form to (5.15).

In [3] the following n-point formulas for numerical differentiation of real functions were obtained:

$$f_\nu x^\nu = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k f(\lambda_k x) + O(x^n), \qquad \nu = 1, 2, \qquad n \geqslant \nu + 1, \tag{5.16}$$

where $\lambda_k x$, $|\lambda_k - \lambda_j| > 1$, are real nodes, minimizing the generalized power sums $S_v = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k \lambda_k^v$ for $v \geqslant n+1$ (this corresponds to minimization of the remainder). In [34] interpolation formulas of the Lagrange type for numerical differentiation were constructed on basis of special non-uniformly distributed nodes, also minimizing the remainder.

Formulas of the form (5.16) for analytic functions were obtained in [28] via contour integrals. Moreover, it was shown there that for $\lambda_k = \exp(2\pi i(k-1)/n)$ and appropriate μ_k their formulas were exact for the polynomials of degree $\leqslant n + \nu - 1$. This result can be extended. Indeed, by direct substitution (see the discussion near the formulas (4.5) and (4.6)) one can check that for any non-vanishing parameters p and q and any integer $0 \leqslant \nu \leqslant n-1$ we have

$$p f_\nu z^\nu + q f_{\nu+n} z^{\nu+n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k f\left(\lambda_k z\right) + O(z^{2n+\nu}), \quad \lambda_k = \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{1/n} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi(k-1)i}{n}\right),$$

where $\mu_k = (-1)^{n-\nu-1} \lambda_k \sigma^{(k)}_{n-\nu-1}\, p^2\, (qn)^{-1}$ (see Lemma 1) and in λ_k one can take any value of the root.

The following formula for analytic functions f is contained in [12]:

$$f_\nu z^\nu = \sum_{k=1}^{(\nu+1)N} \lambda_k f(\lambda_k z) + O(z^n), \qquad N = \left[\tfrac{n}{\nu+1}\right], \qquad n > \nu + 6.$$

Here the numbers λ_k do not depend on f and are non-zero roots of the polynomial $P_n(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} (-1)^k \lambda^{n-k-\nu k}/((\nu+1)^k k!)$ (see estimates for the remainder in [12]). Other results of this type were also obtained in [9, 18].

Example 4 (numerical differentiation). Let $n = 4$ and $p = -1$. Then by (5.11) and (5.12) we get $q = 4$ and $\hat{G}_4(\lambda) = 9\,(\lambda^4 - \lambda^2 - 2\,\lambda + 1)$. The roots of the generating polynomial $\hat{G}_4$ are

$$\lambda_1 \approx 1.38647, \quad \lambda_2 \approx 0.42578, \quad \lambda_{3,4} \approx -0.90612 \pm 0.93427\, i.$$

Lemma 1 gives

$$\mu_1 \approx 0.967276, \quad \mu_2 \approx -0.79945, \quad \mu_{3,4} \approx -0.08390 \pm 0.08175\, i,$$

and the formula (5.15) takes the form

$$z f'(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{4} \mu_k f(\lambda_k z) + f_3 z^3 - 4 f_7 z^7 + r_4(z), \quad r_4(z) = -4 f_8 z^8 - 9 f_9 z^9 + \cdots. \tag{5.17}$$

For instance, set $f(z) = (z+2)^{-1}$ (then $f_3 = -1/16$, $f_7 = -1/256$). Calculations in Maple show that the error of (5.17) does not exceed 10^{-4} for $z \in [-0.5, 0.5]$. For $n = 7$ and $n = 10$ the corresponding errors are less than 10^{-8} and 10^{-12}, correspondingly.

Now consider the Bessel function $f = J_0$ from (3.2). This function is even and consequently the regularizing binomial $pf_{n-1}z^{n-1} + qf_{2n-1}z^{2n-1}$ vanishes for even n. Therefore from (5.17) we get

$$zJ_0'(z) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{4} \mu_k J_0(\lambda_k z).$$

The error of the approximant does not exceed 10^{-4} for $z \in [-1, 1]$. For $n = 6$ and $n = 8$ the corresponding errors are less than 10^{-9} and 10^{-14}.

5.6. Some estimates. Absolute values of the amplitudes μ_k and frequencies λ_k play an important role in calculations by the formula (5.15). We now estimate the frequencies.

Lemma 6. *For the roots λ_k of the polynomial* (5.12) *we have*

$$|\lambda_k| \leqslant 1 + \frac{O(1)}{\sqrt{n}}, \qquad O(1) > 0, \qquad n \to \infty.$$

More precisely, given $n \geqslant 3$,

$$|\lambda_k| \leqslant \Lambda := (2\delta)^{\frac{3}{\sqrt{n-2}}}, \qquad \delta := 1 + \frac{3|p|}{(n-1)(n-2)}, \qquad p \notin \Pi.$$

Proof. First, we estimate the absolute value of the sum of the last three terms in the brackets in (5.12):

$$\begin{aligned} V :&= \left| -\frac{6\lambda\left(\lambda^{n-1} - (n-1)\lambda + n - 2\right)}{(n-1)\,(n-2)\,(\lambda-1)^2} + 2 + \frac{6\,p}{(n-1)\,(n-2)} \right| \\ &\leqslant |\lambda|^n \left(\frac{6\,(1 + (n-1)|\lambda|^{2-n} + (n-2)|\lambda|^{1-n})}{(n-1)(n-2)\,(|\lambda|-1)^2} + \frac{2\delta}{|\lambda|^n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that $(2\delta)^{\frac{3}{\sqrt{n-2}}} - 1 \geqslant \frac{3\ln 2}{\sqrt{n-2}}$ for $\delta > 1$. Therefore substituting $|\lambda| = \Lambda$ into the latter expression yields

$$V \leqslant |\lambda|^n \left(\frac{6 \cdot \left(1 + (2n-3)/(2\delta)^{3\sqrt{n-2}}\right)}{(3\ln 2)^2 \cdot (n-1)} + \frac{1}{(2\delta)^{\frac{3n}{\sqrt{n-2}} - 1}} \right).$$

It is also easy to check that for $n \geqslant 3$ and $\delta > 1$ the expression in the brackets is less than one, so $V < \Lambda^n$ for the above-mentioned $|\lambda| = \Lambda$. This and Rouché's theorem imply that all the roots of the polynomial (5.12) lie in the disc $|\lambda| < \Lambda$. ∎

One can use Lemmas 1 and 6 to obtain estimates for the amplitudes μ_k but this problem needs more delicate analysis and we do not dwell on it in the present paper.

6. Numerical extrapolation by amplitude and frequency operators

6.1. Statement of the problem. Let us briefly describe the idea of the extrapolation. Let $a > 0$, $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ and f be a function analytic in a disc $|z| < \rho$, $\rho > 0$. Consider the problem of multiple interpolation of the function $f(az)$ in a neighbourhood of $z = 0$ by the amplitude and frequency operator $H_n(\{\mu_k\}, \{\lambda_k\}, f; z)$, where f is chosen as a basis function. As in the case of differentiation, we get a non-regular

discrete moment problem with $s_m = a^m$. To regularize it, we introduce the varied function

$$\tilde f(z) := f(az) + pf_{n-1}z^{n-1} + qf_{2n-1}z^{2n-1} \tag{6.1}$$

with some parameters p and q, being non-zero simultaneously. By the same approach that we used at the beginning of Section 5, in order to construct the interpolating sum $H_n(\{\mu_k\},\{\lambda_k\},f;z)$, we find the sequence of varied moments

$$s_k = a^k,\ \ k \ne n-1, 2n-1,\ \ k \in \mathbb{N}_0; \quad s_{n-1} = a^{n-1}+p, \quad s_{2n-1} = a^{2n-1}+q, \tag{6.2}$$

and construct the generating polynomial

$$\check G_n(\lambda) := \sum_{m=0}^{n} \check g_m \lambda^m = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \lambda & \dots & \lambda^{n-1} & \lambda^n \\ 1 & a & \dots & a^{n-1}+p & a^n \\ a & a^2 & \dots & a^n & a^{n+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a^{n-1}+p & a^n & \dots & a^{2n-2} & a^{2n-1}+q \end{vmatrix}. \tag{6.3}$$

If for some $a > 0$, p and q the polynomial $\check G_n$ is of degree n and all its roots $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are pairwise distinct, then by Theorem 1 the varied problem for the function (6.1) is regularly solvable, so the following interpolation formula holds:

$$f(az) = H_n(\{\mu_k\},\{\lambda_k\},f;z) - p\, f_{n-1}z^{n-1} - q\, f_{2n-1}z^{2n-1} + O(z^{2n}). \tag{6.4}$$

(Of course, we assume that all the arguments of the function f lie in the disc $|z| < \rho$, where it is analytic.) Suppose also that the inequalities $|\lambda_k| < \delta a$ with some $\delta \in (0,1)$ are valid for all $k = \overline{1,n}$. Then it is natural to call the formula (6.4) *extrapolational* as the values of the function f at the points $\zeta = az$ are approximated by the values of this function at the points $\lambda_k z$, belonging to the disc $\{\xi : |\xi| < \delta|\zeta|\}$, $\delta < 1$. In the present section we will obtain such an extrapolation formula and a quantitative estimate for its remainder.

We start with a formal description. As in Section 5, we first analyse the coefficients and roots of the polynomial $\check G_n$ of the form (6.3).

6.2. Coefficients of the generating polynomial. The following statement gives an explicit form of the coefficients $\check g_m$.

Lemma 7. *Let $\kappa := (-1)^{n(n+1)/2}p^{n-2}$, $p \ne 0$, $-na^{n-1}$. The polynomial $\check G_n$ has the following coefficients:*

$$\begin{gathered} \check g_n = \kappa p\,(na^{n-1}+p), \qquad \check g_0 = -\kappa\,(a^{2n-1}p + (n-1)a^{n-1}q + p\,q), \\ \check g_m = -\kappa a^{n-1-m}\,(a^n p - q), \qquad m = \overline{1,n-1}. \end{gathered} \tag{6.5}$$

Proof. The method of proof is the same as in Lemma 4. We first prove the identity for $\check g_n$ by direct computation of the algebraic adjunct $(-1)^n D$ to the element λ^n in the determinant (6.3). For this we show that given the matrix

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} a^{n-1} & a^{n-2} & a^{n-3} & \dots & 1 \\ a^n & a^{n-1} & a^{n-2} & \dots & a \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a^{2n-2} & a^{2n-3} & a^{2n-4} & \dots & a^{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

the characteristic polynomial $P_n(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I)$ has the form

$$P_n(\lambda) = (-1)^n \lambda^{n-1}\left(\lambda - na^{n-1}\right). \tag{6.6}$$

Indeed, in this case $\operatorname{rank} A = 1$ as any two rows of A are proportional. Therefore, by (5.6) and (5.7) the coefficients before the terms with the powers less than $n-1$ are zero in $\det(A - \lambda I)$. To prove (6.6), it remains to notice that $\operatorname{Tr} A = na^{n-1}$.

Now we return to the determinant D. In the same way as in Lemma 4, (5.8) and (6.6) yield the desired formula for $\check{g}_n$.

If we suppose that $\check{g}_n$ are known, then the other n coefficients as in the above-mentioned case of differentiation can be found from the system (5.10) of n linear equations (with the exchange of $\,\hat{}\,$ by $\,\check{}\,$). This system with respect to unknowns $\check{g}_0, \dots, \check{g}_{n-1}$ has a unique solution for $p \neq 0,\ -na^{n-1}$ as its determinant is equal to $\check{g}_n \neq 0$. Thus it suffices to check (5.10) by direct substitution of the values (6.2) and (6.5). This is quite easy and thus we do not dwell on it. ∎

6.3. Roots of the generating polynomial. From now on we suppose that $q = 0$; then, as we will see below, $\check{G}_n$ has exactly n pairwise distinct roots and its coefficients can be calculated by quite simple formulas.

Lemma 8. *Let $p > 0$, $q = 0$ and $a > 0$. Then*

$$\check{g}_n = \kappa p \left(na^{n-1} + p\right) \neq 0, \qquad \check{g}_m = -\kappa p\, a^{2n-1-m}, \qquad m = \overline{0, n-1}, \tag{6.7}$$

and the generating polynomial has the form

$$\check{G}_n(\lambda) = \check{g}_n \left(\lambda^n - \frac{a^{2n-1}}{na^{n-1} + p} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda^m}{a^m} \right) = \check{g}_n \left(\lambda^n - \frac{a^n}{na^{n-1} + p} \frac{\lambda^n - a^n}{\lambda - a} \right). \tag{6.8}$$

Moreover, $\check{G}_n$ has exactly n pairwise distinct roots.

Proof. The representation (6.8) can be obtained by direct substituting $q = 0$ into the formulas (6.5) from Lemma 7 for $p > 0$. It remains to show that the polynomial (6.8) has no multiple roots. We rewrite $\check{G}_n$ in the form

$$\check{G}_n(\lambda) = \check{g}_n \frac{P_{n+1}(\lambda)}{\lambda - a}, \qquad P_{n+1}(\lambda) := \lambda^{n+1} - a \left(1 + \frac{a^{n-1}}{na^{n-1} + p}\right) \lambda^n + \frac{a^{2n}}{na^{n-1} + p}.$$

The set of roots of the polynomial P_{n+1} contains all the roots of the polynomial $\check{G}_n$ and one more root $\lambda = a$. If the polynomial P_{n+1} had a multiple root, then this root would be also a root of its derivative P'_{n+1}. However,

$$P'_{n+1}(\lambda) = (n+1)\lambda^{n-1} \left(\lambda - \lambda^*\right), \qquad \lambda^* := \frac{an}{n+1} \left(1 + \frac{a^{n-1}}{na^{n-1} + p}\right),$$

and, as it can be easily seen, in both roots of the derivative P'_{n+1}, namely, 0 and λ^*, the polynomial P_{n+1} does not vanish (for $p > 0$), more precisely, $P_{n+1}(0) > 0$, $P_{n+1}(\lambda^*) < 0$. Consequently, both P_{n+1} and $\check{G}_n$ have no multiple roots. ∎

6.4. Estimates of the roots of the generating polynomial. We now estimate the roots of the polynomial (6.8) assuming $q = 0$ as above.

Lemma 9. *Given $p > 0$ and $a > 0$, for the roots λ_k of the polynomial $\check{G}_n$ we have*

$$|\lambda_k| < \delta a < a, \qquad \delta = \delta(n, a, p) := \left(1 + \frac{p}{na^{n-1}}\right)^{-1/n}, \qquad k = \overline{1, n}. \tag{6.9}$$

Proof. For $|\lambda| = \delta a$ we get

$$\frac{a^{2n-1}}{na^{n-1} + p} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \left|\frac{\lambda}{a}\right|^m = \frac{a^{2n-1}}{na^{n-1} + p} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} |\delta|^m < \frac{na^{n-1}}{na^{n-1} + p}\, a^n = (\delta a)^n = |\lambda|^n.$$

From this, taking into account the first identity in (6.8) and Rouché's theorem, we conclude that all n roots of the polynomial $\check{G}_n$ belong to the disc $|\lambda| < \delta a$. ∎

Remark 6. We now mention several properties of $\delta = \delta(n, a, p)$, which plays a key role in the process of extrapolation. For a fixed n we obviously have

$$\delta \in (0,1), \qquad \delta = \left(\frac{n}{p}\right)^{1/n} a^{1-\frac{1}{n}} \left(1 - O\left(\frac{a^{n-1}}{p}\right)\right), \qquad \frac{a^{n-1}}{p} \to 0,$$

where $O\left(a^{n-1}/p\right)$ is a positive real value.

From this it follows, in particular, that if the fraction a^{n-1}/p decreases, then all the roots λ_k come closer to the origin. For example, for a fixed p and $a \to 0$ the largest absolute value of λ_k is bounded by a value of order $a^{2-1/n}$.

6.5. Main theorem about numerical extrapolation by amplitude and frequency operators. Remarks and examples. We now aim to estimate the extrapolation remainder (6.4) and then formulate the main result of the section. To do so, we need estimates for the generalized power sums S_v, $v \geqslant 2n$, taking into account the sums (6.2) with indexes $\leqslant 2n-1$ and $q = 0$ as before.

Lemma 10. *For $p > 0$ and $a > 0$ the following inequalities hold:*

$$0 \leqslant S_v \leqslant a^v, \qquad v \geqslant 2n. \tag{6.10}$$

Proof. We prove this by induction, based on (6.7) and the identities (1.11) for $v \geqslant 2n$. For $v = 2n$ from (6.2) and (6.7) we get

$$S_{2n} = \frac{na^{3n-1}}{na^{n-1} + p} \leqslant a^{2n}.$$

Furthermore, suppose that the inequality $S_v \leqslant a^v$ is valid for all $v = \overline{2n, N}$ (hence for all $v = \overline{0, N}$). Under this assumption, we obtain

$$S_{N+1} = \frac{a^{2n-1}}{na^{n-1} + p} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{S_{N-n+m+1}}{a^m} \leqslant \frac{na^{N+n}}{na^{n-1} + p} \leqslant a^{N+1},$$

which completes the proof. ∎

Now, using (6.10), we can estimate the extrapolation remainder (6.4), where $q = 0$:

$$|r_n(z)| = \left| \sum_{m=2n}^{\infty} (a^m - S_m) f_m z^m \right| \leqslant \sum_{m=2n}^{\infty} |f_m| |az|^m.$$

Note that this estimate is independent of p.

Summarizing, we formulate the main result of this section.

Theorem 5. *Let f be analytic in the disc $|z| < \rho$, $a > 0$, $p > 0$. Then for $|z| < \rho/a$ the following extrapolation formula holds:*

$$f(az) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k f(\lambda_k z) - p f_{n-1} z^{n-1} + r_n(z), \qquad |r_n(z)| \leqslant \sum_{m=2n}^{\infty} |f_m| |az|^m, \tag{6.11}$$

where the frequencies λ_k are the pairwise distinct roots of the polynomial (6.8)*, and* (*see* (6.9))

$$|\lambda_k z| < \delta a |z| < a|z|, \qquad \delta = \left(1 + \frac{p}{na^{n-1}}\right)^{-1/n}.$$

The amplitudes μ_k are uniquely determined by Lemma 1. Moreover, $\lambda_k = \lambda_k(a,p,n)$ and $\mu_k = \mu_k(a,p,n)$, so they are independent of the function f and universal in this sense.

The extrapolation formula (6.11) *is exact for the polynomials of degree* $2n-1$, *i.e.* $r_n(z) \equiv 0$ *for the polynomials* f *such that* $\deg f \leqslant 2n-1$.

Remark 7. If $f_{n-1} = 0$, then the extrapolation formula has a particular simple form and high degree of accuracy. For instance, for even (odd) functions and even (odd) n the identity from (6.11) has the form

$$f(az) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k f(\lambda_k z) + r_n(z).$$

Calculation of f_{n-1} in the general case was discussed at the end of Section 5.

Remark 8. We emphasize that the extrapolation character of the formula (6.11) is specified by a proper choice of the parameters p and q in the problem (6.2). For instance, for $p = q = 0$ this problem is also solvable (but non-regular): one can take $\mu_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_1 = a$ with the rest of parameters μ_k, being equal to zero, and any λ_k. However, in this case (6.11) becomes a trivial identity.

If one takes, for example, $p > 0$ and $q = a^n p - \check{g}_n/\kappa$, then the problem (6.2) turns out to be regular and, moreover, the coefficients of the generating polynomial $\check{G}_n$ can be also calculated easily:

$$\check{g}_0 = -\check{g}_n p_0, \qquad p_0 := \left(na^{n-1} + p\right)\left(\kappa p\, a^n/\check{g}_n - 1\right) + a^{n-1},$$
$$\check{g}_m = -a^{n-1-m}\check{g}_n, \qquad m = \overline{0, n-1}.$$

However, in this case there is no extrapolation since the inequalities $|\lambda_k| < a$ are not valid anymore and we just have an interpolation formula of the form (6.11).

Remark 9. Note that for different a one has different extrapolation formulas of the form (6.11), not arising from each other. In particular, they cannot be reduced to the case $a = 1$ by linear substitution. Indeed, substituting $t = az$ into (6.11) gives

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k(a) f\left(\tilde{\lambda}_k(a) t\right) - p f_{n-1}(t/a)^{n-1} + r_n(t/a), \qquad \tilde{\lambda}_k(a) = \lambda_k(a)/a, \tag{6.12}$$

where by Lemma 9 for any $p > 0$ and $a > 0$

$$|\tilde{\lambda}_k(a)| \leqslant \delta(n,a,p) = \left(1 + \frac{p}{na^{n-1}}\right)^{-1/n} < 1,$$

i.e. a does not disappear and still is a controlling parameter. If $a < 1$, then for any fixed $p > 0$ we get

$$|\tilde{\lambda}_k(a)| < \delta(n,a,p) \to a, \qquad n \to \infty,$$

Thus, for large n all the arguments $\tilde{\lambda}_k(a)\, t$ lie almost a-times closer to the origin than t (see also Remark 6).

Remark 10. Realizing the n-point simple or multiple extrapolation (interpolation) on basis of the Lagrange polynomials or other similar approaches, one usually obtains extrapolation (interpolation) formulas, being exact for the polynomials of degree $n-1$ (see, for instance, [10,13,35]). However, our extrapolation formula is exact for the polynomials of degree $\leqslant 2n-1$. It is interesting that the doubling of precision is gained by adding just one regularizing power term $p f_{n-1} z^{n-1}$.

We also emphasize that, due to Remark 6, if $p \to \infty$ and all other parameters are fixed, then the extrapolation nodes tend to the point $z = 0$, but at the same time the theoretical error of extrapolation does not increase (see (6.11)) as is independent of p. The same phenomenon of the convergence of nodes to the origin was noticed in similar extrapolation problems in [10, 13].

Example 5 (**numerical extrapolation**). Let $n = 2$, $a = 1/2$ and $p = 2$ ($q = 0$ as above). Then the generating polynomial (6.8) has the form

$$\check{G}_2(\lambda) = -6\,\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2}\,\lambda + \frac{1}{4}.$$

We find its (pairwise distinct) roots and then determine the amplitudes by Lemma 1:

$$\lambda_1 = -\frac{1}{6} \qquad \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{4}, \qquad \mu_1 = -\frac{27}{5}, \qquad \mu_2 = \frac{32}{5}.$$

Thus we get the following extrapolation formula (written in the form (6.12)):

$$f(z) \approx -\frac{27}{5} f\left(-\frac{1}{3}z\right) + \frac{32}{5} f\left(\frac{1}{2}z\right) - 4f_1 z.$$

For example, for $f(z) = e^z$ the absolute error of this formula does not exceed 0.002 in the real segment $z \in [-0.5, 0.5]$.

For $n = 4$ and $n = 8$ and the same parameters $a = 1/2$ and $p = 2$ the error of the extrapolation formula (6.11) for e^z in $[-1, 1]$ does not exceed 10^{-7} and 10^{-18} correspondingly. Moreover, in both cases the moduli of extrapolation nodes are less than $0.58|z|$.

7. On the numerical method for constructing amplitude and frequency operators

As we have already mentioned in Remark 2, some authors studied numerical methods for solving the systems (1.10). In [39] one of such approaches, the method of small residuals in overdetermined moment systems, was used for approximation by amplitude and frequency sums (1.2) in a neighbourhood of the point $z = 0$. Some discussions from this paper (see Theorems 3 and 5 and Remark 1 there) raise the following important question: can one use the method of small residuals in the context of the Padé interpolation (1.4) and approximation by amplitude and frequency operators? From the undermentioned observations it is seen that this method can work well only for the quite narrow class of consistent systems, but in the general case one has to give a negative answer to the question. For the discussion we can consider only $M = 2n$ as the case $M > 2n$ follows from the below-mentioned counterexamples by adding equations with arbitrary right hand sides.

Following [39], instead of the system (1.6) we consider the one with small residuals $\delta := \{\delta_m\}_{m=0}^{2n-1}$:

$$s_m - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k \lambda_k^m = \delta_m, \qquad m = \overline{0, 2n-1}, \qquad |\delta| := \max_m |\delta_m| \leqslant \varepsilon. \tag{7.1}$$

It is not difficult to show that for an arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$ one can choose the residuals δ, $|\delta| \leqslant \varepsilon$, such that the system (7.1) is solvable (both for consistent and

inconsistent systems (1.6)). Furthermore, using this solution, one can construct the corresponding amplitude and frequency sum $H_n(\delta; z)$ of the form (1.2) such that

$$f(z) - H_n(\delta; z) = \sum_{m=0}^{2n-1} h_m \delta_m z^m + B_n(\delta; z), \qquad B_n(\delta; z) = O(z^{2n}). \tag{7.2}$$

If one can take $|\delta| = 0$, then we deal with a consistent moment problem (1.6). As we have already mentioned above, this case is not of big interest for numerical analysis because analytical methods effectively work. If $|\delta| \neq 0$, then obviously one cannot get (1.4) from (7.2) even for regular problems. Indeed, given fixed residuals δ, $|\delta| \neq 0$, the right hand side of (7.2) is just of order $h_k \delta_k z^k = O(|\delta|) z^k$, $z \to 0$, where k is the index of the first non-zero residual.

There also exist other serious obstacles to realization of the method of small residuals in the interpolation and approximation problems. The matter is that for inconsistent moment problems the decreasing of $|\delta|$ to zero always results in growing to infinity of at least one component of the solution to the problem (7.1), i.e. the amplitudes $\mu_k(\delta)$ or frequencies $\lambda_k(\delta)$. This happens because the solution to the initial, non-regularized inconsistent problem (1.6) does not exist. But a similar situation can occur even for some consistent systems (see Example 8). In such cases the approximation is impossible: either the computational errors considerably exceed the residuals or, which is even worse, the arguments $\lambda_k(\delta) z \to \infty$ leave the domain of the function $h(z)$ (see Example 7). Moreover, then the corresponding interpolation formulas are usually in unstable relation not only to the norms of the residuals but also to their single components that makes impossible the error estimation via the norm $|\delta|$ (see Examples 6-8).

We now give several examples of such a divergence for consistent and inconsistent moment problems. For simplicity, let $n = 2$.

Example 6. Set $s_0 = 0$, $s_1 = 1$, $s_2 = 0$, $s_3 = 0$. The system (1.6) is inconsistent. Solving the system (7.1) by the Prony-Sylvester formulas and Lemma 1, we get

$$\mu_1 + \mu_2 = \delta_0, \qquad \mu_1 = \frac{(1+\delta_1)^2}{\sqrt{4\,\delta_3\delta_1 - 3\,{\delta_2}^2 + 4\,\delta_3}} + O(|\delta|) \to \infty, \qquad |\delta| \to 0.$$

Thus, passage to the limit in $H_n(\delta; z)$ as $|\delta| \to 0$ predictably does not determine any Padé amplitude and frequency sum, moreover, the parameters of the sum obtained are in unstable relation to the components of the residuals.

Example 7. Set $s_0 = 1$, $s_1 = 0$, $s_2 = 0$, $s_3 = 1$. The system (1.6) is inconsistent. By the same formulas for (7.1) we get

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1 + O\left(|\delta|\right)}{\delta_0\delta_2 + \delta_2 - \delta_1^2} \to \infty, \qquad |\delta| \to 0,$$

i.e. the argument $\lambda_1 z$ of the amplitude and frequency sum $H_n(\delta; z)$ in (7.2) tends to infinity and can leave the domain of the basis function h.

The following example (which arises in the extrapolation problem considered in Section 6) shows that the method of small residuals can be unfit even for consistent systems.

Example 8. Set $s_0 = 1$, $s_1 = 1$, $s_2 = 1$, $s_3 = 1$. The system (1.6) is consistent (but not regular); one of its solutions is obvious: $\lambda_1 = \mu_1 = 1$, $\lambda_2 = 0$, μ_2 is arbitrary.

However, the method of small residuals leads to the indeterminacy

$$\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 = \frac{\delta_3 + \delta_1 + \delta_1\delta_3 - 2\,\delta_2 - \delta_2^2}{\delta_2 - 2\,\delta_1 + \delta_0 + \delta_0\delta_2 - \delta_1^2}.$$

It is not clear how to choose the residuals for the convergence of the process. If one takes, for instance, $\delta_0 = \delta_1 = 0$, $\delta_2 = \delta_3^2$, then again the argument in the amplitude and frequency sum tends to infinity:

$$\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 = \frac{1 - 2\delta_3 - \delta_3^3}{\delta_3} \to \infty, \qquad |\delta| \to 0.$$

Example 9. In [39, §4.4.2] the following system arises in the approximation of the derivative of the Bessel function, J_0', by amplitude and frequency sums with the basis function $h(x) = (1 - J_0(x))/x$ and $n = 2$:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2} \mu_k \lambda_k^{2m+1} = 2(m+1), \qquad m = \overline{0, M-1}.$$

It is easily seen that it is inconsistent for $M = 4$ (cf. the non-regularized system in the problem of numerical differentiation in Section 5). The authors of [39] solve it numerically for $M > 4$ and $\varepsilon = 2 \cdot 10^{-16}$ and obtain the following results:

$$\mu_1 = \overline{\mu_2} = \tfrac{1}{2} + \mu i, \qquad \lambda_1 = \overline{\lambda_2} = 1 + \lambda i, \qquad \mu \approx -9.8 \cdot 10^7, \qquad \lambda \approx 5.1 \cdot 10^{-9}.$$

It is natural to expect that further decreasing of the residual will cause the moduli of the amplitudes μ_k to grow to infinity and the moduli of the frequencies λ_k to tend to one. Again one gets a divergent process in the context of the Padé interpolation under consideration.

Thus on account of the above-mentioned reasons the method of small residuals has to be used for constructing amplitude and frequency sums with great circumspection as it can lead to unacceptable results.

Note that the regularization method that we propose also uses residuals; generally speaking, they are two: p and q. The important difference between our method and the one with small residuals is in the fact that p and q are fixed, not necessary small and can be calculated by special formulas depending on the specificity of the problems considered in Sections 4-6. For example, in the problems of numerical differentiation and extrapolation we obtained explicit expressions for p and q, being independent of z, f and h. Moreover, the corresponding residuals in the interpolation disappear not because of decreasing $|\delta|$ but due to adding a fixed regularizing binomial $c_1 z^{n-1} + c_2 z^{2n-1}$ to an amplitude and frequency sum. For instance, the following regularized interpolation formula corresponds to Example 7:

$$f(z) = -h_1 z + \sum_{k=1}^{2} \mu_k h(\lambda_k z) + O(z^4), \quad \mu_{1,2} = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(1 \mp \tfrac{3\sqrt{5}}{5}\right), \quad \lambda_{1,2} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\left(1 \pm \sqrt{5}\right),$$

where the remainder depends only on z, f and h.

In conclusion, it is worth mentioning that for increasing the rate of approximation one can use the regularization method even for some regular systems, for example, for those which can be obtained from non-regular ones by a small variation of the moments.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the referees for their useful suggestions, which helped to improve the paper.

References

[1] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, Dover, New York (1972).
[2] N.I. Akhiezer, *The Classical Moment Problem and Some Related Questions in Analysis*, Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh (1965).
[3] J.M. Ash, S. Janson and R.L. Jones, Optimal numerical differentiation using N function evaluations, *Calcolo* **21**(2) (1984), 151-169.
[4] G.A. Baker Jr. and P. Graves-Morris, *Pade Approximants. Part I: Basic Theory. Part II: Extensions and Applications*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass. (1981).
[5] G. Beylkin and L. Monzón, On approximation of functions by exponential sums, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **19** (2005), 17–48.
[6] G. Beylkin and L. Monzón, Approximation by exponential sums revisited, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **28** (2010) 131–149.
[7] D. Braess, Nonlinear Approximation Theory, Springer Ser. Comput. Math., vol. 7, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
[8] M. Buchmann and A. Pinkus, On a recovery problem, *Ann. of Num. Math.* **4** (1997), 129–142.
[9] P.V. Chunaev, On a nontraditional method of approximation, *Proc. of the Steklov Inst. Math.* **270**(1) (2010), 278-284.
[10] P.V. Chunaev, On the extrapolation of analytic functions by sums of the form $\sum_k \lambda_k h(\lambda_k z)$, *Math. Notes* **92**(5-6) (2012), 727-730.
[11] P.V. Chunaev and V.I. Danchenko, Approximation by the amplitude and frequency operators, arXiv:1409.4188v1, September 15, 2014.
[12] V.I. Danchenko, Approximation properties of sums of the form $\sum_k \lambda_k h(\lambda_k z)$", *Math. Notes* **83**(5) (2008), 587–593.
[13] V.I. Danchenko and P.V. Chunaev, Approximation by simple partial fractions and their generalizations, *J. of Math. Sci.* **176**(6) (2011), 844-859.
[14] V.I. Danchenko and P.V. Chunaev, On approximation by amplitude and frequancy sums, Intl. Summer Kazan School-Conference on Function theory, its applications and similar problems: Proceedings, Vol. 46, Kazan University, August 22–28, 2013, 174-175.
[15] V. Danchenko and P. Chunaev, Approximation by amplitude and frequency sums, Joint CRM-ISAAC Conference on Fourier Analysis and Approximation Theory: Abstracts, CRM, November 4–8, 2013, 12.
[16] V.I. Danchenko and A.E. Dodonov, Estimates for exponential sums. Applications, *J. of Math. Sci.* **188**(3) (2013), 197-206.
[17] V.K. Dzyadyk, Generalized problem of moments and the Pade approximation, *Ukrainian Math. J.* **35**(3) (1983), 254-259.
[18] A.V. Fryantsev, On numerical approximation of differential polynomials, *Izv. Saratov. Univ. Mat. Mekh. Inform.* **7**(2) (2007), 39–43 [in Russian]
[19] V.P. Gromov, On the growth of functions defined by series of the form $\sum_1^\infty d_n f(\lambda_n z)$, *Mat. Sb.* **67**(109:2) (1965), 190–209 [in Russian].
[20] R.A. Horn and Ch.R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2013).
[21] N. Jacobson, *Basic algebra I*, W.H. Freeman and Company, New York (1985).
[22] N.M. Korobov, Exponential sums and their applications, *Mathematics and Its Applications (Soviet Series)* **80**, Kluwer Academic Publishers (1992).
[23] V.I. Krylov, *Approximate Calculation of Integrals*, The Macmillan Co., New York-London (1962).
[24] J.P.S. Kung, Canonical forms of binary forms: Variations on a theme of Sylvester. Invariant theory and tableaux (Minneapolis, MN, 1988), *IMA Vol. Math. Appl.* **19** (1990) 46–58, Springer, New York.

[25] S.-Y. Kung, A new identification and model reduction algorithm via singular value decomposition, Proc. 12th Asilomar Conf. Circuits, Syst. Comput., Pacific Grove, CA, 1978, 705–714.
[26] A.F. Leont'ev, *Sequences of Exponentials*, Nauka, Moscow (1980) [in Russian].
[27] A. F. Leont'ev, Representation of functions by generalized exponential series, *Math. Sb.* **62**(2) (1989), 491–505.
[28] J.N. Lyness, Differentiation formulas for analytic functions, *Math. Comp.* **22** (1968), 352-362.
[29] Y.I. Lyubich, Gauss type complex quadrature formulae, power moment problem and elliptic curves, *Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom.* **9**(2) (2002), 128–145 [in Russian].
[30] Y.I. Lyubich, The Sylvester-Ramanujan system of equations and the complex power moment problem, *Ramanujan J.* **8** (2004), 23–45.
[31] R. Prony, Sur les lois de la Dilatabilité des fluides élastiques et sur celles de la Force expansive de la vapeur de l'eau et de la vapeur de l'alkool, à différentes températures, *J. de l'Ecole Polytech.* **2**(4) (1795), 28-35 [in French].
[32] D. Potts and M. Tasche, Parameter estimation for nonincreasing exponential sums by Prony-like methods, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **439**(4) (2013),1024–1039.
[33] S. Ramanujan, Note on a set of simultaneous equations, *J. Indian Math. Soc.* **4** (1912), 94–96.
[34] H.E. Salzer, Optimal points for numerical differentiation, *Num. Math.* **2**(1) (1960), 214-227.
[35] H.E. Salzer, Formulas for best extrapolation, *Num. Math.* **18** (1971), 144-153.
[36] G. Schmeisser, Numerical differentiation inspired by a formula of R.P. Boas, *J. Approx. Theory*, **160**(1-2) (2009), 202-222.
[37] V.I. Shevtsov, The representation of integral functions by series of the form $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n f(\lambda_n z)$, *Mat. Zametki* **4**(5) (1968), 579–588 [in Russian].
[38] J.J. Sylvester, On a remarkable discovery in the theory of canonical forms and of hyperdeterminants, *Phil. Magazine* **2** (1851), 391–410.
[39] C.E. Yarman and G.M. Flagg, Generalization of Padé approximation from rational functions to arbitrary analytic functions — Theory. *Math. Comp.* **84** (2015), no. 294, 1835–1860.

Petr Chunaev
Departament de Matemàtiques, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona
Edifici C, Facultat de Ciències, 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain
e-mail: chunayev@mail.ru; chunaev@mat.uab.cat

Vladimir Danchenko
Functional Analysis and Its Applications Department, Vladimir State University
Belokonskoy str. 3/7, Building 3, 600000 Vladimir, Russia
e-mail: vdanch2012@yandex.ru; danch@vlsu.ru